

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,488 07/22/2002		07/22/2002	Thomas Richard Kerby Edwards	71745/56925	4085	
21874	7590	08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
EDWARD	S & ANC	ELL, LLP	LUDLOW, JAN M			
P.O. BOX 5. BOSTON, 1)5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				1743	1743	
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,488	EDWARDS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jan M. Ludlow	1743		

	Jan M. Ludlow	1743						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	706.07(f).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 July 2006</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep AMENDMENTS 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
(a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further α	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	••							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	l 16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-19 and 21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	st before on on the data of Elice . At	-4: f A1(11	4 la 4 a					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	of before or on the date of filing a Ni Id sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered in necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		in, io sololi oi allaoi						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by It is directed to the unentered amendments.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13. ☐ Other: see attached interview summary.	•							
		July Ludow						
		Jan M. Ludlow	~/					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "wherein the central plunger can slide in the barrell..." is a new issue.